

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 08/488,180 06/07/1995 WALTER P. CARNEY 40441-CY/JPW 8467 7590 10/04/2002 JOHN P WHITE **EXAMINER** COOPER AND DUNHAM HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 1642 DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Interview Summary   | Application No.                          | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   | 08/488,180                               | CARNEY ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner                                 | Art Unit   |
|   | Sheela J Huff                            | 1642   |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  |  |  |
| (1) Sheela J Huff.  | (3) <u>Nicholas Muto</u> .               |  |
| (2) <u>Alan Morrison</u> .  | (4)                                      |  |
| Date of Interview: 26 September 2002.   |  |  |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant  | 2) applicant's representati              | ve]  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: the draft 312 amendment sub  | e)⊡ No.<br>omitted to Examiner via FAX o | <u>n 9/24/02</u> .                                       |
| Claim(s) discussed: newly proposed claims 25-27.  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .  |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  |  |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner said that if the 312 amendment were officially filed, it would not be entered because the art would have to be researched.</u>   |  |  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen<br>allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no<br>allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached  | copy of the amendments that              | greed would render the claims<br>would render the claims |
| <ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   | , A                                      | A  |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  | Examiner's sign                          | nature, if required                                      |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03- 98)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 15.